

[209] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61233-2023
Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Surjit Singh ...Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Ravi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 08.12.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Ravi, Advocate for the petitioner.

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioner has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.63
dated 25.02.2023 registered under Sections 447, 379, 506, 120-B IPC at
Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Punjab).

FIR was lodged on the complaint of Balroop Kaur, an NRI,
who is stated to have purchased 3 kanal and 3 marlas of land out of Kha-
sra No.680/3/1. It was claimed that she had been delivered the possession
of the land purchased by her. Allegations are that she had affixed pillars
and barbed wires around the area in her possession but the accused in-
cluding the petitioner removed the pillars and took away the same along
with the barbed wires and encroached her land to the extent of 1 kanal 8-
1/2 marla. She has also filed an application for partition before Assistant
Collector Ist Grade and also filed civil suit for injunction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that similarly
placed coaccused Sanjiv Kumar and Manish Kumar have already been
granted the benefit of pre-arrest bail by this Court vide orders dated
10.10.2023 and 21.11.2023 (Annexures P.4 and P.5). Learned counsel fur-
ther contends that in the civil suit filed by the complainant- Balroop Kaur,
compromise has already been effected between the parties and the copy of
the same has been placed on record.

Notice of motion for 12.02.2024.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

Let status report be filed on behalf of the respondent- State on or before the date fixed.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 08.12.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 08.12.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No