



108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10987-2024
Date of decision: 14.11.2024

SHIVANI AND ANR ...Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Y. S. Rathore, APP, U. T., Chandigarh (through V. C.).

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and also direct the respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their aforesaid representation.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
4. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the

parties, respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-3) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

5. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

6. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

14.11.2024
M.Sikka

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No