

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**2024:PHHC:011158
CWP No.27768 of 2023
Date of Decision:29.01.2024**

M/s Sham Sundjer Rice and General Mills

....Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Ayushi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Krishan Sharma Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

Mr. K.K.Gupta, Advocate
for FCI

Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
for PUNGRAIN

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 19.12.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Mr. Krishan Sharma, Advocate, inter alia contends that respondent-PUNGRAIN has withheld payment of the petitioner despite test report dated 31.05.2023 in favour of the petitioner. The petitioner was supposed to blend 1% FRK with CMR and as per test report, the petitioner has blended 1.02% FRK, thus, there is compliance of prescribed standards still PUNGRAIN has not released payment.

Notice of motion, returnable for 29.01.2024.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab, Mr. K.K.Gupta and Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, who on advance notice are present in Court, accept notice on behalf of State, FCI and PUNGRAIN respectively and seeks time to get instructions.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for PUNGRAIN submits that all the dues of petitioner, as per his instructions, have been cleared, thus, the present petition has rendered infructuous.

3. In the wake of statement of counsel for PUNGRAIN, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate application, if the same is found incorrect.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.01.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No