



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-56708-2024
Date of decision : 20.11.2024

Jagdev SinghPetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The grievance of the petitioner, who is in custody since 22.04.2024, in this first regular bail application in FIR No. 161 dated 15.04.2024 for committing the offence of attempt to murder under Section 307 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Dabwali Sadar, District Dabwali, is that out of 17 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined.
2. Considering the period of custody and also the nature of offence, it would be appropriate to afford another opportunity to the prosecution to produce main witnesses and get them examined.
3. Petition stands disposed of.
4. It is made clear that in case, trial is further delayed and main prosecution witnesses are not examined within reasonable period of time, the petitioner is at liberty to re-visit the Court.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

November 20, 2024
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No