



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

129

CRM-M-56836-2024

Date of Decision: 14.11.2024

Parveen

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Amit Siwach, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Singh Pahghal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 is for quashing of FIR No.159 dated
22.05.2024 under Sections 323, 354, 506 and 376(2)(n) IPC registered at
Police Station Badli, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) and all other
subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of Compromise
Deed dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-3).

Power of Attorney filed on behalf of respondent No.2 in
Court today is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia* submits that the
petitioner is the father in law of the complainant/respondent No.2. It is
submitted that the son of the petitioner is married to the
complainant/respondent No.2. The present FIR has been registered on the
basis of some misapprehension and misunderstanding on behalf of the
complainant. However, now the entire matter stands settled between the

parties by way of Compromise Deed dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-3). It is accordingly prayed that the present FIR be quashed on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the State opposes prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that the FIR of such nature cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise as the allegations are made under Section 376(2)(n). It is further pointed out that trial in the present case is at an advanced stage as the complainant/prosecutrix and her sister-in-law have already been examined before the learned trial Court. It is submitted that these facts are evident from the order dated 11.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) whereby the petitioner has been granted regular bail by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge (Exclusive Court), Jhajjar.

At this stage, when this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter; learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Permitted to do so.
Dismissed as withdrawn.

14.11.2024
Divyanshi

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No