

M/s Vaishnavi Filing Station

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Aniket Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Allowing the petitioner to file separate appeals against orders Annexures P/4, P/5 and P/6, which are the assessment orders under Haryana Value Added Tax Act, 2003, for the assessment years 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 respectively, we direct that the appeals may be disposed of on merits by the authorities.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the pre-deposit may not be asked from the petitioner as it has already been deposited the advance tax. The said aspect shall be examined by the authorities for the purpose of considering whether the pre-deposit is required to be made or not.
3. The writ petition is dismissed on account of availability of statutory remedy under the law.
4. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

14.11.2024
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No