

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-37187-2019
Decided on :12.03.2024

Rajinder Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

The Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-Appellate Tribunal and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Navdeep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Sumati Jund, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 06.08.2019 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No. 1.

2. On 14.11.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“A perusal of the order dated 14.07.2022 shows that it was specifically ordered that in case arrears of maintenance were not paid by the petitioner to respondent No.3 within a period of one month, the writ petition would be dismissed. However, learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 has pointed out that neither

the arrears of maintenance has been paid so far nor the petitioner is paying the current maintenance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be granted two months' time to clear the entire arrears of maintenance and to start paying the current maintenance regularly.

Adjourned to 10.02.2023.

14.11.2022

*Sd/-
(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE”*

3. On 11.04.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to comply with the order dated 14.11.2022.
4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 4 has submitted that the said order dated 14.11.2022 has not been complied with so far.
5. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect.
6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

12.03.2024
Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No*