

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-56540-2024
Date of decision: 05.12.2024

KULJINDER SINGH
....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB
....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Ms. Ravinder Kaur Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Neha Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
81	31.07.2024	109, 115(2), 324(4), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS; 117(2) of BNS added later on; 25 of Arms Act	Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 25.11.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 25.11.2024.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Jagdeep Singh, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial



CRM-M-56540-2024

2

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of counsel for the complainant has opposed the petition on the ground that keeping in view the gravity of the offence, the petition does not deserves the concession of bail.

5. During the course of hearing on 25.11.2024, following order was passed:

“Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant to assist the learned State counsel and has filed his power of attorney. The same is taken on record.

2. Reply not filed. However, learned State counsel submits that the reply filed in the connected matter i.e. CRM-M-56077-2024 arising out of the same FIR may be taken on record. He has placed the copy of the same which is taken on record.

3. Heard.

4. Considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that the only attribution to the petitioner as per the allegations is blunt injury simple in nature on the hand of the complainant.

5. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. List on 05.12.2024.

7. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court on that date. ”

CRM-M-56540-2024 3

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 25.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 25.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

05.12.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |