

[207] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60798-2023
Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Inderjit Singh ...Petitioner
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA
Present : Mr. Zorawar S. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 04.12.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Zorawar S. Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioner has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.57
dated 31.12.2022 registered under Sections 419/420/465/467 IPC at Po-
lice Station Rawalpindi, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that name of
the petitioner is not mentioned in the FIR; and that he has been nominated
on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Amit, who has been al-
lowed the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated
11.04.2023, confirmed on 18.05.2023 in CRM-M-17034 of 2023.

Following order was passed by this Court on 18.04.2023
while allowing interim anticipatory bail to the co-accused Amit:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in
case FIR No.0057, dated 31.12.2022, registered at Police
Station Rawalpindi, District Kapurthala, under Sections
419, 420, 465 and 467 of IPC.

The FIR was lodged on the complaint of Harvinder
Singh, authorized signatory of Bajaj Finance against Pa-
ramvir Singh, proprietor of M/s Harman Electronics &
Mobile, as per which said Paramvir Singh is dealer of

electronic consumer goods and has a tie-up with the complainant company. It was alleged that with an intention to defraud the complainant, said Paramvir Singh by using his dealer log in code applied for 39 loans and obtained loan amount of 13,20,130/ ₹ - by submitting documents of various customers. However, when the complainant tried to approach the customers for repayment of the loan, it was found that some fake customers had obtained the loan for purchasing the electronics items sold by said Paramvir Singh.

It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that he is not named in the complaint made by the complainant. Petitioner was working as Sales Promoter on behalf of L.G. company and his duty was to make the customers aware about the features and models of electronic goods sold by L.G. company to its dealer i.e. M/s Harman Electronics & Mobile. It was not his job either to arrange loan amount or to verify genuineness of the documents submitted by the customers.

Notice of motion for 18.05.2023.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Status report be filed on the date fixed.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and cooperate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Having regard to the afore-said facts and circumstances, when coaccused Amit has already been allowed interim anticipatory bail and the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Amit, let notice of motion be issued.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

Adjourned to 12.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 04.12.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 04.12.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No