



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10926-2024

Date of decision : 12.11.2024

Niyaz Mohd. and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Afjal Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 14 and not to interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the behest of respondents No.4 and other relatives by taking into consideration representation dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-3). Further prayer has been made for directing the official respondents to ensure the security of the life and liberty of the petitioners which is at the eminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 14 and other relatives and not to harass the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, District Nuh.



3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

12.11.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No