



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56634-2024

Date of decision : 20.11.2024

Prito Bai

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. It is informed by learned counsel for the petitioner in this regular bail application in FIR No. 235 dated 10.09.2022 for offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 302, 323, 506 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), registered at Chhansa, District Faridabad, that out of 27 enlisted prosecution witnesses, 15 have already been examined.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is 41 years old woman, is in custody since 13.09.2022. He further submits that all the material witnesses have since been examined by the prosecution.

3. Learned counsel for the State of Haryana does not dispute that all the material prosecution witnesses have been examined.



4. In view of the above and considering the fact that release of the petitioner on bail shall not be the reason of affecting the prosecution case, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.
6. It is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

November 20, 2024
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No