



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRM-36008-2024 in/and
CRM-M-61119-2023
Date of decision: 12.09.2024

Jashanjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Manpreet Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**CRM-36008-2024**

Prayer in the instant application is for advancing the date
of hearing of main case from 20.11.2024 to some early date.

Heard.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, the
same is allowed and the date of hearing of the main case is advanced
from 20.11.2024 to today itself.

CRM-M-61119-2023

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to
respondents No.2 to 4 for registration of an FIR against real culprits for
committing cheating to the tune of Rs.10 lakhs by impersonation and
took false loan by taking the petitioner's name and against their fix
deposit in the bank, as per representation sent through email to ADGP
NRI (Annexure P-2) and intimation given to Bank Manager, SBI
Branch Noormahal dated 25.10.2023 (Annexure P-1).



CRM-36008-2024 in/and
CRM-M-61119-2023

-2-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the petitioner having approached the police for initiating action against the accused persons, the authorities concerned had been sitting over the matter. After arguing for some time, learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondents No.2 to 4, to look into representation (Annexure P-2) and decide the same in the light of ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. A.D.G.P. of Police NRI Wing, District SAS Nagar Mohali, is directed to look into the representation of the petitioner at the earliest, decide the same within four weeks from today and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation (Annexure P2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

12.09.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No