



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-30502-2024

Date of Decision : 12.11.2024

JASWINDER SINGH

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of final merit list (Annexure P-6) whereby respondents No. 4 and 5 have been selected on the post of Sub-Inspector in Technical & Support Services Cadre under Ex-serviceman (Scheduled Caste) Category.

2. The petitioner claims that he is more meritorious than respondents No.4 and 5 still has been denied post of Sub-Inspector in Technical & Support Services Cadre. He, pursuant to advertisement No.7 of 2021 dated 09.09.2021, had applied for the post of Sub-Inspector.

3. This Court vide judgment dated 20.01.2024 has dismissed CWP No.12331 of 2023 titled as "Sukhdev Singh vs. State of Punjab and



others” and connected writ petitions. The said judgment has been upheld by a Division Bench of this court vide judgment date 14.10.2024 passed in LPA No.313 of 2024 titled as “Rikul Chatwal vs. State of Punjab and others” and other connected appeals.

4. Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, on being confronted with judgment of this Court and judgment of Appellate Court expressed his inability to controvert applicability of aforesaid judgments to facts of the present case, however, he submits that appellate authority has not adverted to his arguments while deciding his appeal.

5. It is true that appellate authority has not passed a reasoned order, however, in view of judgment of this Court and Appellate Court, the instant petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.11.2024
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No