



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-30357-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2024

Rohtash Kumar
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioner to avail such other remedies as shall be admissible in law. As also, to invoke Clause 24 of the Contract, which envisages a Dispute Redressal system.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana is present in Court. On instructions, he submits that in the event, the petitioner invokes Clause 24 (**ibid**) and moves any such application/representation, the same would be taken cognizance of by the competent authority forthwith. And, the matter shall be examined in the right earnest to resolve the dispute/differences between the parties, if possible. Further, he submits, the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed at the earliest.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

11.11.2024
Rajan

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge