



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

314

CRM M-56489 of 2024
Date of Decision: 09.12.2024

SANDEEP KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of an FIR No.111 dated 31.05.2022 under Sections 323, 34 and 506 of IPC (offence under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector-20, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed by Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate and the same is taken on record.

2024:PHHC:164064



3. Vide order dated 14.11.2024 while issuing notice of motion, this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with respect to the compromise dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-2).

4. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula and got their statements recorded. Report dated 27.11.2024 has been received whereby after recording the statements of the parties, the Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

6. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioners.

7. Resultantly, FIR No.111 dated 31.05.2022 under Sections 323, 34 and 506 of IPC (offence under Sections 406, 420,

2024:PHHC:164064



467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector-20, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

09.12.2024
vipin

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No