

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP-27040-2023

Date of decision: - 10.01.2024

Shambhu Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the action of the respondent vide which the respondents have restricted the petitioner's pensioner account No.31206345895 in State Bank of India, Hisar Road Rohtak, Branch Code 01766. The other prayers have also been made.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3-State Bank of India has submitted that the Bank has already returned an amount of Rs.15,49,000/- towards the deposit of Rs.15,00,000/- which was made by the petitioner in mutual funds. It is further submitted that bank account of the petitioner i.e. A/c No.31206345895 is fully operational and as per his instructions, an amount from the said account has been transferred in the

account of his wife, namely, Meena. It is further submitted that two FDRs of Rs.5,00,000/- each i.e., one in the name of the petitioner and one in the name of wife of the petitioner are with respondent No.3-Bank.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such and liberty however be granted to the LRs of the petitioner (since the petitioner has died) to pursue any remedy in case anything is left.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with the aforesaid liberty.

January 10, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No