

CRM-M-60836-2023
Date of decision: 09.02.2024

...PETITIONERS

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.149 dated 10.10.2016 registered under Sections 324/34 of Indian Penal Code (later on added Section 326 of IPC) at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 24.01.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 04.12.2023 :

“Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Navleet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Mr. Ajay Singh. Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 and filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place in the file.

In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, let the parties appear before the trial Court/Area Magistrate, as the case may be, within a period of four weeks from today for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. Trial Court is directed to report on the following points:-

(i) *How many total accused are facing the trial;*
(ii) *Whether any of the accused was declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial;*
(iii) *Status/stage of the trial/case;*
(iv) *To record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise;*
(v) *To record the statement of Investigating Officer with regard to points No.(i), (ii) and (iii) as above.*
Report be sent through District and Sessions Judge, before the next date of hearing.
Adjourned to 09.02.2024.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court *in Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.149 dated 10.10.2016 registered under Sections 324/34 of Indian Penal Code (later on added Section 326 of IPC) at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

February 09, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |