

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-60332-2023

Date of decision: 23.01.2024

KIRPAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in cross-version i.e. GD/DD No.33 dated 15.09.2023 under Sections 326, 323, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at P.S. Lopoke, Amritsar, in case FIR No.79 dated 23.05.2023 under Sections 307 and 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, registered at P.S. Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 04.01.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined in the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order 04.01.2024.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Hardeep Singh, intimates that the petitioner had joined the investigation in the case and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Keeping in view the respective submissions made by learned State counsel and considering the fact that the petitioner had already joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation nor he is required for any custodial interrogation, interim bail granted vide order dated 04.01.2024 is hereby confirmed in the present GD/DD No.33 dated 15.09.2023 under Sections 326, 323, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at P.S. Lopoke, Amritsar, in case FIR No.79 dated 23.05.2023 under Sections 307 and 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.

6. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

23.01.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |