



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CRWP-10822-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.11.2024

SHEETO KAUR AND ANR.

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Ms Avinash Mandla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 9 and take action on their representation dated 05.11.2024 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 05.11.2024 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, District Amritsar, Punjab (Annexure P-5) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. She further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to

2024:PHHC:146415



respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, District Amrtisar, Punjab to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 05.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

11.11.2024

Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No