

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 25-07-2024

.....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate,
Mr. Akshat Kalia, Advocate,
Ms. Preetleen Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Preet Agroa, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is that as per the approved final answer key (Annexure P-9), keeping in view the OMR sheet, copy of which is in the possession of the the petitioner, she is supposed to secure 103 marks whereas, the petitioner has been given 102 marks hence, there is a checking error in one of the question attempted by the petitioner, for which the petitioner has not been given credit and thereafter prejudice is being caused for not considering her claim for the post of Social Science Mistress under B.C Category.

Learned State counsel submits that the OMR sheet of the petitioner has been checked properly.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the present case with their able assistance.

It is not the case that the petitioner is asking for revaluation but submits that keeping in view the approved answer key, the petitioner is entitled for 103 marks instead of 102 marks and one of her answer in the OMR sheet has not been marked correct or, the tabulation of the total marks is incorrect.

In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the respondents are directed to re-check the OMR sheet of the petitioner keeping in view the approved answer key and in case, it is found that the petitioner has 103 marks, the benefit of the same will be given, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which reasons be conveyed to the petitioner. In case, the petitioner is granted the benefit of 103 marks, the consequential benefits be also extended to her.

Let the said exercise be carried out within a period of 2 weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed off.

25-07-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO