

CRWP-10997-2024
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1

105 **CRWP-10997-2024**
Date of Decision: 14.11.2024

Parvinder Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.


ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Apprehending threat to life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents, the petitioner, invoking the fundamental right of life guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, has come up before this Court seeking protection through the State.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be confining his prayer to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at this stage, reserving his right to take other legal remedies for remaining prayers including filing of fresh writ petition and he wants to withdraw Annexure P-4 at this stage.

3. Notice served upon the official respondent through the State's counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.

4. Counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Rashpal Singh, submits that police officials are not calling the petitioner and otherwise the private respondents have given a legal notice to the petitioner under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. She further submits that they did not want to call the petitioner as it is a private dispute and there is nothing to fear from them. However, they reserve right to investigate the petitioner, if any complaint is received against him.



CRWP-10997-2024

5. Given above, no further orders are required to pass in the present petition, however if the petitioner feels threat, he shall be at liberty to make a representation before the concerned SHO, who shall assess threat perception and provide protection on the basis of day to day analysis, if required.

6. ***This order shall eclipse after fifteen days from today.***

7. Since this order shall eclipse after fifteen days, and after that if the concerned SHO wants to provide any further security, he/she may provide at his/her own level and not based on the order of this Court.

8. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

14.11.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.