



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(217)

CRM-M-55793-2024(O&M)

DATE OF DECISION:10.12.2024

Mohd. Salman Khan

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present Mr.Saurav Gumbal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Bhupinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J (ORAL)

1. On 11.11.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.279 dated 05.05.2023, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. Ambala City, District Ambala.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, neither the petitioner has been named in the FIR, nor he has any concern with the allegations voiced in the present FIR. He further submits that, the entire amount has been deposited in the bank account of one Pooja Joshi.

3. Notice of motion for 10.12.2024.

4. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the



investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 11.11.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10.12.2024
mamta

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No