



126

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30295-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14-11-2024

RASHMI KOHLI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harkirat S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet S. Bains, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner competed for the post of Assistant Professor (College Cadre) in the subject of Zoology in pursuance to the Advertisement dated 19.10.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the candidates having low merit in the General Category, have been appointed whereas the petitioner has been left out and that too without any valid justification hence, the respondents are under obligation to grant the petitioner the benefit of selection as well as appointment on the post in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 16.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a

direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 16.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of **four** weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

14-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO