



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-56055-2024
Date of Decision : 05.12.2024**

VAKILA BEGUM ALIAS VAKEELA BEGUM

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. J.S.Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 12.11.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, a prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0227 dated 26.08.2024 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 109(1), 115, 190, 191(3), 333 and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 328 and 118(2) of BNS, 2023, added later on), registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar.

On asking for the relief (supra), learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that petitioner has not been named in the FIR (supra), and no specific role has been attributed to her. Further, there is no allegation that she has caused any injury to anyone.

Notice of motion for 05.12.2024.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned Additional AG, Haryana, waives service and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has also filed a reply, dated 04.12.2024, by way of an affidavit of Sh.Rajinder Kumar, DSP, Yamunanagar, today in court, which is ordered to be taken on record.

3. He, further, on instructions imparted to him by the police official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 12.11.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

December 05, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No