



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10738-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 07.11.2024

Suneha and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 and 5.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Suneha aged about 17 years 9 months 23 days and Sonu have filed petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. At this stage, Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5 i.e. parents of petitioner No. 1 and filed Power of Attorney (Vakalatnama), which is taken on record. It is pointed out that petitioner No. 2 is a married man, whereas, petitioner No. 1 is minor. It is further informed that one FIR No. 0203 dated 05.11.2024, under Sections 137(2), 96 and 61(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 has been registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala against Ajay and petitioner No. 2 – Sonu.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for petitioners stated that aforesaid fact that petitioner No. 2 was already married was not disclosed to him. Therefore, he intends to withdraw present petition seeking protection.
4. In view of aforesaid factual position, learned counsel for petitioners is permitted to withdraw present petition and accordingly, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.
5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

07.11.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No