



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

289

CRM-M No.40220 of 2018
Date of decision : 24.9.2024

Amir HassanPetitioner
Versus
State of UT, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. J.S. Toor, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh assisted by
Mr. Adhiraj Toor, Advocate, for respondents no.1 to 3

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Case has been called out twice since morning. None has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Vide order dated 27.8.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought for and permitted to serve respondent no.4 by way of *dasti* process. However, office note indicates that none has come present to collect the requisite notice. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

24.9.2024
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No