

[213] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60011-2023
Date of Decision : 06.02.2024

Baljinder Singh ...Petitioner
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 30.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

By way of this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.128 dated 25.08.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 336, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, besides Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 307, 308, 325, 326 and 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar (Rural).

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that as per allegations made in the FIR, petitioner hit sword on the right side of head of complainant Devinder Singh; and also hit sword on the left wrist of other injured Rashpal. Learned counsel has then referred to the compromise/affidavit dated 25.09.2023 (Anenxures P-2 and P-3) given by both the injured, so as to contend that matter has since been compromised between the parties. Further attention is drawn towards the fact that though the occurrence took pace on 03.08.2021 at 8 p.m., but FIR was lodged after 22 days on 25.08.2021.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Mr. Paras Khindri, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant. Copy of paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Learned counsel for the complainant has admitted the factum of compromise.

Adjourned to 06.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Subhash Chander, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 30.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 30.11.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

06.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No