



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-30083-2024

Date of decision: 07.11.2024

Mahinder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Nihal S. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel would submit that regarding the claim raised in the present petition, a legal notice dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been served upon the respondents by relying on the judgments passed by this Court in **Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-2371-2010, decided on 31.08.2010 and **Joginder Singh vs. State of Haryana**, 1998(1) S.C.T. 795, which has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 25.09.2024, taking note of the aforesaid judgments, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.



Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

07.11.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No