

**CRM-M-60883-2023****-1-****283 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.****CRM-M-60883-2023**

Date of Decision:-May 16, 2024

Vicky @ Sandeep Kumar and anotherPetitioners
Vs.

State of Haryana & anotherRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.412 dated 10.11.2023 under Sections 406, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala (Annexure P-1), qua the petitioners, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.11.2023 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

Vide order dated 04.12.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 04.10.2023 with regard to the compromise dated 21.11.2023 (Annexure P-2).

**CRM-M-60883-2023****-2-**

In terms of the order dated 04.12.2023 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the Court of Mr. Vivek Chaudhar, Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala and as per report dated 20.04.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589*** and this Court in ***Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739-2010 decided on 27.04.2011***, ***Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017***, ***Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019***

**CRM-M-60883-2023**

and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala accompanied by statements of the parties, the FIR No.412 dated 10.11.2023 under Sections 406, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.11.2023 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties are hereby quashed, qua the petitioners only.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

May 16, 2024
satish

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES / NO

Whether reportable : YES / NO