



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.55320 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th December, 2024

Shinder Singh @ Sinder Singh Dhilo

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Imaan S. Khara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 482 BNSS in case FIR No.36 dated 07.08.2024 registered under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 126(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 118(2) of BNS, 2023 (added later on) at Police Station Kot Fatta, District Bathinda, Punjab.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 07.11.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that no doubt, the petitioner has been attributed a grievous injury, however, it is on the non-vital part of the person of the complainant i.e. on his right elbow. It

has been further contended that other than this, the petitioner, who is a 58 year old man, has not been attributed any other injury either on the person of the complainant or any of the other injured witnesses.

On a pointed query as to whether the petitioner has any previous criminal antecedents, learned counsel has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 07.11.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 07.11.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No