

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

227

CWP-36605-2019

Date of decision : 01.02.2024

Phuman Singh

....Petitioner

V/S

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Amanpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrita Garg, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking writ of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to open the sealed cover and to give effect to the recommendation/result of the Departmental Promotion Committee which met on 23.08.2018 and to consider the case of the petitioner for regular promotion to the post of Senior Executive Engineer and to promote him w.e.f. the date his juniors have been promoted.

2. Reply by way of an affidavit of Er. Jasdeep Singh Grewal, Joint Secretary, Services-I, PSPCL, Patiala, on behalf of the respondents, has been filed in the Court which is taken on record. Para 5 and 6 of the said affidavit reads as under :-

“5. That thereafter, in view of the departmental memo no.1847/2771 dated 15.01.2023 as well as Regulation 16 of the PSEB Service of Engineers (Electrical) Regulations,

1965, the petitioner was granted deemed date promotion from the date when his junior Smt. Baljinder Kaur was promoted, i.e. 04.10.2018, with notional benefits up to 03.02.2020, i.e. date of actual joining on the post of Senior Executive Engineer (Electrical). Further, the petitioner has also been placed above Smt. Baljinder Kaur in the seniority list, as per office order dated 14.05.2020. A true translated copy of office order dated 14.05.2020 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-2.

6. That in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to dismiss the present writ petition as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the satisfaction of the claims raised by the petitioner therein.”

3. Since the claim of the petitioner has already been satisfied, therefore, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

01.02.2024

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No