


2024:PHHC:165313



241

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55218-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.12.2024

Chetan Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Tushar Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Virat Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.150 dated
28.03.2017 under Sections 506, 498-A, 323 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860
(for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District

2024:PHHC:165313



Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of memorandum of understanding dated 01.10.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 07.11.2024: -

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.150 dated 28.03.2017 under Sections 506/498-A/323 of IPC registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of memorandum of understanding dated 01.10.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 11.12.2024.


At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his memorandum of appearance and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 is directed to file his power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

2024:PHHC:165313



3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.150 dated 28.03.2017 under Sections 506, 498-A, 323 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

11.12.2024
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No