



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M-55474-2024

Date of decision: 28.11.2024

Sunil

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ram Bhati, Advocate,
for the respondent No.2/complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.747 dated 02.12.2019 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 109, 114, 307, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

2. On 08.11.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had made the following submissions:- :-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that during investigation the petitioner was found innocent, and thus, he was placed in column No.2; the petitioner was however, subsequently summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. to face trial as an additional accused, therefore, now there was a genuine apprehension on his part that he could be arrested and sent to custody as and when he appeared on the next date fixed before the trial Court i.e. 18.11.2024. Learned counsel has further submitted that in the given facts and circumstances, custodial



CRM-M-55474-2024

-2-

interrogation of the petitioner would not serve any useful purpose.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 08.11.2024, the petitioner was directed to surrender before the learned Trial Court on 18.11.2024, whereupon he was to be admitted on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 08.11.2024, the petitioner has appeared and surrendered before the learned Trial Court, and thereafter released on interim bail vide order dated 18.11.2024. In support, learned counsel has placed on record a copy of order dated 18.11.2024 of the learned Trial Court wherein the said fact stands confirmed.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Manoj, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having appeared and surrendered before the learned Trial Court, and thereafter released on bail.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 08.11.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

28.11.2024

anil

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No