

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-26657-2023
Date of Decision: 14.03.2024

Baljinder Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by Division Bench judgment dated 31.08.2010 (Annexure P-6) of this Court in ***Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab, CWP No.2371 of 2010.***
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)*, the respondent-authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and

passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statements made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

14.03.2024
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>