

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CRWP-11522-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.01.2024

Mamta Sharma and another Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to take action on the representation dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioners.
2. The police has filed reply. However, counsel for the petitioner feeling dissatisfied with the same, submits that yesterday again there was a dispute as the private respondents broke upon the locks of the stairs.
3. An application has also been moved by one Mr. Rakesh Minhas s/o Harbans Lal claiming that he has purchased the shop in question. Thus from the aforesaid facts, primarily it seems to be a dispute relating to dispute over the shop. Respondent No.2 shall assess

the threat perceptions to the petitioners and act accordingly. So far as dispute with respect to the possession/dispossession is concerned, the parties shall be at liberty to avail their remedies, in accordance with law.

- 4. Petition stands disposed off.
- 5. Nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 6. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

24.01.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No