



133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55644-2024**DATE OF DECISION: 11.11.2024****GAYATRI DEVI AND ANR****.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: - Mr. Bhavpreet Singh Dhatt, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy as available to the petitioners including liberty to raise the pleas raised in the instant petition at the time of consideration of framing of charge against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further made a request that the petitioner No.1-Gayatri Devi is a lady aged about 58 years and hence it is not possible for her to travel from Gurugram to Faridabad to attend each and every date of hearing in Court.

The main petition is directed to be dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as sought for. Keeping in view the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, especially the factum of petitioner No.1-Gayatri



Devi being a lady aged about 58 years, the personal presence of the petitioner No.1- Gayatri Devi is exempted before the trial Court subject to the following conditions: -

- (i) she will be represented by a counsel;
- (ii) she will not delay/stall the proceedings of the trial Court;
- (iii) she will not dispute her identity as accused;
- (iv) she will have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in her absence but in presence of her counsel;
- (v) she will appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court and any other condition which the trial Court may impose.

November 11, 2024

Jatin

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No