

of two weeks from today for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. Trial Court is directed to report on the following points:-

- (i) how many total accused are facing the trial;
- (ii) whether any of the accused was declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial;
- (iii) status/stage of the trial/case;
- (iv) to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise;
- (v) to record the statement of Investigating Officer with regard to points No.(i), (ii) and (iii) as above.

Report be sent through District and Sessions Judge, before the next date of hearing.
Adjourned to 02.02.2024.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court *in Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0135 dated 05.12.2019 registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148, 149, 325 (added later on) of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

February 02, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |