

[209] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59695-2023

Date of Decision : 25.01.2024

Prem Pal ...Petitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Status report by way of affidavit dated 23.01.2024 of Shri Rajeev Kumar, HPS, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, Central Faridabad, on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record.

[2] On 28.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner.

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.149 dated 26.10.2023, under Sections 34, 427, 448, 452, 506 and 511 of IPC, registered at Police Station Bhupani, District Faridabad, Haryana.

FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Shyam Sunder as per which, he had purchased a plot measuring 264 Sq. Yards on 07.01.2013 from Ram Gopal on which had constructed a house about 10 years back.

It is alleged that on 22.10.2023, petitioner with the help of others demolished the house. Police was called on emergency helpline number and the offenders fled away.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention towards the GPA (Annexure P-4) in faovur of the complainant as per which he had purchased 264 sq. yards of land out of Khewat No.574, Khatoni No.721, Mustkil No.65, Killa No.9 (8-0).

On the other hand, petitioner by way of full and final agreement to sell Anenxure P-2 dated 10.07.2023 purchased 264 Sq. yards of land from Mustkil No.60, Killa No.7 (8-0) of Khewat No.574, Khatoni No.721.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though Khewat and Khatoni number of both the parties are same, but land purchased by the petitioner is from a different Rect. No. It is further contended that no demarcation of the land has been conducted so far in order to know as to whether the house of the petitioner allegedly constructed in the land purchased by him, was demolished.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 25.01.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[3] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Nasir Hussain' has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 28.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[4] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 28.11.2023, whereby

the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[5] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

25.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No