

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

2024:PHHC:144576



CWP No. 29955 of 2024 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 06th November, 2024

Balraj Singh and others

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Bains, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled for grant of benefit of the judgment passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court in *CWP No. 9410 of 2016* titled as *Balbir Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-21) but the same is not being granted to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification, though, the petitioners herein as well as the petitioners in CWP No. 9410 of 2016 are similarly situated in all respects.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 29.09.2024 (Annexure P-22) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. A.S. Bains, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 29.09.2024 (Annexure P-22) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

06th November, 2024
reema

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No