



CRM-M-55015-2024 (O&M)

-1-

235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55015-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.12.2024

NISHANT HANDA AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Divjot Singh Chadha, Advocate for
Mr. Bharat Jain, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.245 dated 04.12.2020 under Sections 498-A & 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 07.11.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.245 dated 04.12.2020 under Sections 498-A & 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 10.12.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of



CRM-M-55015-2024 (O&M)

-2-

respondent No.1-State and Mr. Bharat Jain, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during course of the day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.245 dated 04.12.2020 under Sections 498-A & 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860,

CRM-M-55015-2024 (O&M)

-3-

registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

10.12.2024

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No