

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[CWP-27407-2023](#) (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.04.2024

GURUDEV SINGH RAINA Petitioner
versus
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANRRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate
for respondent No.2-HPSC.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed with a prayer that the total marks of the petitioner has wrongly been calculated for the post of Drug Inspector by the respondents so as to oust him from the zone of consideration such act on the part of respondents is totally illegal and arbitrary.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has secured 77.86 marks and the marks obtained by last selected candidate is 76.88 in the written examination for the post of Drug Inspector.
3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the petitioner had obtained 59.32 marks, whereas the cut off in the general category was 59.76 marks. She further submits that all the candidates for the post of Drug Inspector were subjected to the same selection process which has been appended with the reply as Annexure R2/1 and the selection criteria adopted by the Commission was uniformly applied to all the candidates.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone

through the record with their able assistance.

5. The contention raised by the petitioner that the petitioner had secured more marks then the last selected candidate in the General Category is false as per reply submitted by respondent No.2. As per reply, the petitioner has not secured more marks then the cut off for the General Category for the post of Drug Inspector that being the factual position, claim of the petitioner has rightly been not considered for selection/appointment to the post in question.
6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case no ground for interference is made out by this Court, and the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

04.04.2024

Anu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No