

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

2024:PHHC:144555



CWP No. 29948 of 2024 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 06th November, 2024

S. Jaswant Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Punjabi University through its Registrar, and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mukesh Arora, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Bath, Advocate for respondent No.1.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has rendered service starting from 16.09.1987 to 15.12.2005 with the Central Government and thereafter was appointed with Punjabi University, Patiala and keeping in view the Punjab Civil Services Rules, which also apply upon the respondent-university, the petitioner is entitled for counting of service rendered with the Central Government while computing the pensionary benefit of petitioner, which benefit has not been extended to him, which is causing prejudice.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 04.09.2024 (Annexure P-8) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. H.S. Bath, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 04.09.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent No.1, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

06th November, 2024
reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>