



108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30198-2024

Date of Decision : 25-11-2024

BHUPINDER PAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY, AMRITSAR

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dharmender Singh Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harmanbir Singh Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. M.K Dogra, Advocate for the respondent.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioners are entitled for pension under the old pension scheme keeping in view the judgment of the Division Bench in *CWP No. 2371 of 2010 titled as Harbans Lal Versus State of Punjab and others, decided on 31.08.2010*, which benefit is not being given to the petitioner and that without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance which has been raised in the present petition has already been raised in the legal notice dated 27.08.2024 copy of which has been appended as Annexure

P-10 and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is given to respondents for passing an appropriate order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harmanbir Singh Sandhu, Advocate for Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in case the legal notice dated 27.08.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

25-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO