

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59399-2024 (O&M)
Date of Order: 28.11.2024

Mamta Rani
... Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab & others
...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
Present:- Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
63	21.2.2024	City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala	302, 34 IPC and 120-B IPC

1. Seeking direction to the police authorities to conclude the investigation in the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNSS, 2023.
2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if respondent no.3-SSP, Jalandhar (R) is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) made before him in a time bound manner.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent(s) through State’s counsel. The nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no response of the official respondent(s) is required.
4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent no.3-SSP, Jalandhar (R) to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner himself/herself or by delegating the same to any officer not below the rank of IPS, by passing a speaking order, within two months, in accordance with law. The order so passed be communicated to the petitioner as well as to his counsel on their email IDs as well.

Petition is disposed of to the extent mentioned above. The petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court against the order so passed, if required. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2024
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No