

2024:PHHC:159478



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105 + 244

CM-5744-C-2022 in/and
RSA-4531-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.11.2024

Rajinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Satnam Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Sweta, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate/Legal Aid Counsel
for applicant/respondent No.2.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

CM-5744-C-2022

Learned counsel for the applicant states that the application seeking preponement has been rendered infructuous by efflux of time and the same be disposed of accordingly.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

RSA No.4531 of 2018

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Same was the position on the previous date of hearing i.e. 20.05.2024, when the following order was passed:-

“There is no representation on behalf of either of the parties. Same was the position on earlier three consecutive hearings.



RSA-4531-2018

-2-

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 29.11.2024.

Registry to notify the next date of hearing to learned counsel for the parties.”

2. As per office report, learned counsel for the appellant Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate, has been notified.
3. In view of the present factual matrix, there is no other option for the Court but to infer that the appellant is not serious to pursue the instant appeal.
4. The present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

November 29, 2024
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No