

CWP-21359-2018 (O&M)& -1-
connected cases

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232 (03 cases) +
258

CWP-21359-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision :28.08.2024

Kuldeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CWP-21168-2018 (O&M)

Harpreet Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CWP-28090-2022 (O&M)

Karamjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CWP-4999-2022 (O&M)

Sukhdev Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners
in all petitions.

Mr. Amar preet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab.
* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petitions, prayer of the petitioners is that they are



**CWP-21359-2018 (O&M)& -2-
connected cases**

entitled for the grant of benefit of Government job keeping in view the fact that their family suffered at the hands of terrorists because of the members of their families being killed by the terrorists and the petitioners being members of the terrorist affected family, keeping in view the instructions issued by the respondent-State of Punjab, are entitled for the grant of benefit of Government job.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that keeping in view the facts of the present petitions, one of the family member of the petitioners has already been granted the benefit of compassionate appointment but the petitioners are claiming that they should also be given benefit of Government job, which will amount to grant of benefit of compassionate appointment to more than one member of the terrorist affected family, which is not permissible.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though, the stand of the respondents is that not more than one member of the terrorist affected family can be accommodated for the grant of benefit of compassionate appointment but on the earlier occasion, more than one family members of the terrorist affected family has been accommodated by the respondents for the grant of compassionate appointment hence, the petitioners intend to approach the respondents by way of filing a representation with the prayer that petitioners should also be granted the said benefit in the same manner so as to grant compassionate appointment to more than one member of the terrorist affected family of the petitioners and the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order.



**CWP-21359-2018 (O&M)& -3-
connected cases**

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioners claiming the benefit of compassionate appointment to more than one family member being the members of the terrorist affected family, the same will be considered keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the said case as well as the facts which will be brought to the notice of the authorities concerned and appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks and in case, it found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioners, the same will be granted otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioners will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

August 28, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No