



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202 CWP-36072-2019
Date of Decision: 19.12.2024

Rahul Garg ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Ms. Sunaina, Advocate for
Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Kunal Soni, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for respondents no.1 and 2

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

On 13.11.2024, learned counsel for respondents no.1 and 2 had submitted that the matter has been rendered infructuous on account of supersession of impugned notification, dated 01.12.2016, by a subsequent notification dated 25.02.2021.

2. The said fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. In view thereof, the petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

19.12.2024
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No