

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

235

CRM-M-60530-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.03.2024

SURENDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present:- Mr. Kanishk Sarup, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.724 dated 22.08.2023 registered under Sections 376 2(n), 313, 420, 406, 323, 34 of IPC at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar.
2. Status report by way of affidavit of Gaurav Sharma, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barwala, Hisar has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, which is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contends that investigation qua the petitioner is complete but charges are yet to be framed. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 16.09.2023 and no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. He further submits that the trial is going to take time to conclude, as such, prayer for releasing the petitioner on bail has been made.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the present petition and contends that though the challan has been presented but charges are yet to be framed and statement of the prosecutrix is yet to be examined.
5. I have considered the aforesaid contentions. The allegations against the petitioner are very serious in nature. There are 7 FIRs which are pending against the petitioner out of which FIR No.294 dated 01.09.2018 registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 379, 34 IPC at Police Station City Narwana, FIR No.261 dated 12.11.2018 registered under Sections 498-A, 506, 406, 323, 34 IPC at Police Station Women Jind and FIR No.633 dated 29.07.2023 registered under Sections 506, 509 IPC and Section 67 of IT Act are still pending against the petitioner. There are other allegations that petitioner had taken 20 lakhs from the prosecutrix on the pretext of purchasing a house where the prosecutrix and petitioner would live together, whereas petitioner is himself married and having children.
6. Keeping in view the gravity of the allegations and history of cases against the petitioner, no ground for bail is made out. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.
7. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

07.03.2024

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No