



CRWP-10621-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CRWP-10621-2024

Date of decision: 6th November, 2024

Neeraj Rana through wife Tamanna Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for extension of parole for eight weeks in FIR No. 69 dated 26.11.2016 registered under Section 21 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act') at Police Station Rawalpindi, District Kapurthala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was held guilty and convicted for a period of ten years and to pay a fine of Rs. 1 lakh for commission of offence under Section 21 (c) of NDPS Act and to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of two years and to pay fine of Rs. 2000/- for commission of offence punishable under Section 25 of Arms Act. An appeal as filed by the petitioner against the said



judgment is pending before this Court. Vide order dated 28.08.2024 passed by this Court in CRWP-1760-2024, the petitioner was released on parole on 25.09.2024 for a period of six weeks. However, on 27.10.2024, the petitioner was going to market on Aactiva and had met with an accident and therefore, he was rushed to Shri Ram Raai Ji Hospital, Sultanwind Road, Amritsar. As per doctor opinion, legs of the petitioners got paralysed and he is not able to walk and is advised to bed rest for two months. With these broad submissions, it is urged that the petition deserves to be allowed and petitioners deserves to be granted extension of parole.

3. Status report has been filed by the respondent-State. Learned State counsel though opposes the prayer for extension of bail but he is not in a position to dispute the medical condition of the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have gone through the record carefully.

5. The petitioner was convicted and held guilty for a period of ten years in case arising out of FIR No. 69. He had filed an appeal against his conviction before this Court, which is pending. The petitioner was granted parole for a period of six weeks by this Court vide order dated 28.08.2024 and was released on 25.09.2024. He was to surrender on 06.11.2024 but he has moved petition for grant of extension of parole on the ground that he had met with an accident during the parole period. The factum of his sustaining injury in an accident has been formed by the respondent-State. Keeping in view this fact, the petition is disposed of and the period of parole of the petitioner is extended for a period of two weeks from today. The petitioner



CRWP-10621-2024

-3-

shall surrender back to the jail after expiry of the aforementioned period.

6. A copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information and necessary compliance.

7. If the petitioner does not surrender in the concerned jail on the date fixed, the consequences will follow.

**[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE**

6th November, 2024

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No