

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

265

CRM-M-61411-2023

Date of Decision: 30.09.2024

Sukhchain Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. SS Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.


Ms. Akanksha Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lovepreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Sahil, Puri, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner who is the father-in-law of complainant/ respondent No. 2 herein and father of respondent No. 3, has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No. 07 dated 19.02.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 452, 354, 354-B and 427 IPC at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-3), arrived at between the parties.

Pursuant to the order dated 08.12.2023 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bholath to get their




statements recorded. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bholath, has submitted his report along with statements of the parties vide letter dated 02.02.2024 duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Kapurthala.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioner and respondents No. 2 to 4 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise which have been found to be genuine, voluntary and without any coercion and undue influence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that the allegations in the present FIR to the effect that the petitioner gave beatings to his daughter-in-law/respondent No. 2 herein and respondent No. 4 with a stick are false and fabricated. It is submitted that both the parties are closely related to each other and there is a family dispute between the parties. The impugned FIR was lodged on account of some misunderstanding and misapprehension on the part of respondent No. 2-complainant. Now better sense has prevailed between the parties and in order to live peacefully, parties have entered into compromise dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-3), according to which, both the parties have agreed not to proceed further with the FIR in question. Further, it is submitted that petitioner is the only accused in the present case and he has never been declared as proclaimed offender.

Learned State counsel has stated that he has 'no objection' in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise qua the petitioner.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.




After perusing the report submitted by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bholath, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and respondents No. 2 to 4. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in “**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab**”, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced here-in-below:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding



the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed here-in-above, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 07 dated 19.02.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 452, 354, 354-B and 427 IPC at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-3), arrived at between the parties, are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioner.

30.09.2024
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No